



# Community Safety Walk-Rounds; An Extended Strategy for Community Safety Promotion

Mohammad Saadati<sup>1,\*</sup> and Hodayoun Sadeghi Bazargani<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Road Traffic Injury Research Center, Tabriz University of Medical Sciences, Tabriz, Iran

\*Corresponding author: Road Traffic Injury Research Center, Tabriz University of Medical Sciences, Tabriz, Iran. Email: hcm.2020@gmail.com

Received 2018 March 04; Accepted 2018 April 18.

Keywords: Community, Safety, Safety Promotion

## Dear Editor,

Safety is one of the most challengeable issues in urban management. Safety promotion has been defined as a process for ensuring existence and maintenance of a condition, which is required for an acceptable level of safety (1). Structural and behavioral changes in the community through inter-sectoral collaboration are necessary for safety promotion. The process may be used at various international, national, and local levels with a top-down or bottom-up approach (2).

Safety is one of the most important issues in health systems, especially in hospitals. Beside various strategies for safety promotion at hospitals, Patient Safety Leadership Walk-Rounds (PSLWs) have attracted more attention. The PSLW is a process, in which a multidisciplinary team of hospital managers with frontline staff participation identify, discuss, and plan the initiatives to eliminate the hazards (3, 4). Literature has reported PSLW as an effective strategy for hospitals safety promotion (5-7). This strategy could also be used in other settings. With an extension, PSLW strategy can be used in urban management as community safety walk-rounds (CSWs).

Furthermore, CSW could be defined as a participatory process, in which community leaders such as Mayor, Traffic Police and etc., come to the community and identify, discuss, and plan the safety promotion initiatives with the participation of the local people. This process not only increases the inter-sectoral collaboration and community organizations responsiveness, yet also brings public participation in community safety promotion. Moreover, it changes "safety" as a public demand in communities, which leads to community safety promotion. Considering that "safe community" concept has been introduced as an international community safety promotion

approach (8, 9), CSW could be used as a new strategy to obtain greater commitment and support of community policymakers.

## References

1. Welander G, Svanström L, Ekman R. *Safety promotion-an introduction*. Stockholm: Karolinska Institutet; 2004.
2. World Health Organization. *Safety and safety promotion: Conceptual and operational aspects*. Canada: Beauport (Québec); 1998.
3. Saadati M, Nouri M, McSherry R. Patient safety leadership walkrounds: Promoting a safety culture in developing countries. *J Patient Saf Qual Improv*. 2016;**4**(4):426.
4. Sexton JB, Adair KC, Leonard MW, Frankel TC, Proulx J, Watson SR, et al. Providing feedback following Leadership WalkRounds is associated with better patient safety culture, higher employee engagement and lower burnout. *BMJ Qual Saf*. 2018;**27**(4):261-70. doi: 10.1136/bmjqs-2016-006399. [PubMed: 28993441]. [PubMed Central: PMC5867443].
5. Frankel A, Grillo SP, Pittman M, Thomas EJ, Horowitz L, Page M, et al. Revealing and resolving patient safety defects: The impact of leadership WalkRounds on frontline caregiver assessments of patient safety. *Health Serv Res*. 2008;**43**(6):2050-66. doi: 10.1111/j.1475-6773.2008.00878.x. [PubMed: 18671751]. [PubMed Central: PMC2613998].
6. Singer SJ, Tucker AL. The evolving literature on safety WalkRounds: Emerging themes and practical messages. *BMJ Qual Saf*. 2014;**23**(10):789-800. doi: 10.1136/bmjqs-2014-003416. [PubMed: 25228779].
7. Sexton JB, Sharek PJ, Thomas EJ, Gould JB, Nisbet CC, Amspoker AB, et al. Exposure to Leadership WalkRounds in neonatal intensive care units is associated with a better patient safety culture and less caregiver burnout. *BMJ Qual Saf*. 2014;**23**(10):814-22. doi: 10.1136/bmjqs-2013-002042. [PubMed: 24825895]. [PubMed Central: PMC4167964].
8. Svanström L, Mohammadi R, Saadati M, Gulburand S, Bazargani HS, Tabrizi JS. A quantitative analysis of the activities of designated safe communities: The baseline assessment in 2005. *J Clin Res Gov*. 2016;**5**(2). doi: 10.13183/jcrg.v5i2.199.
9. Sadegh Tabrizi J, Sadeghi Bazargani H, Mohammadi R, Saadati M. Iranian designated safe communities: A quantitative analysis. *Trauma Mon*. 2017;**In Press**(In Press). e59247. doi: 10.5812/traumamon.59247.