Laser Therapy after the Repair of the Distal Half of the Median Nerve; a Comparative Study
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1. Background

Nerve injuries caused by major or minor traumas often cause some disabilities for patients. Neurotmesis, characterized by complete anatomical rupture of the nerve, is the most severe form of the injury which will not recover without reconstructive surgery and nowadays such neural damages are improved by microsurgical procedures. Some studies have used low power laser for nerve cell growth in order to improve the rehabilitation results of peripheral nerves. Low power laser can complement the reformation of postsurgical nerve injuries.

Objective: The current study aimed to assess the effects of laser therapy after repair of median nerve rupture in the distal third of the forearm and to compare the results with that of the standard method.

Patients and Methods: The current study was a case-control clinical trial of 36 patients with volar surface rupture of the distal third of forearm admitted to the emergency ward of Hazrat-e-Fatemeh Hospital within 72 hours of injury, they had anesthesia in the first, second, and third fingers as a result of Median Nerve Injury. Patients were divided into two groups. The first group included subjects treated with standard methods and the second group included those treated with low power laser therapy (LT) along with the standard method. The same surgeon operated the subjects in the two groups. The second group underwent 10 sessions of LT every other day. Clinical Examination, Electromyography and Nerve Conduction Velocity (NCV) were done after six months and the results were compared.

Results: In the two-point discrimination test, there was no significant difference between the two groups in the thumbs but a significant improvement was observed in the index finger of the LT group. Improvement of muscular examinations such as opposition and thumb abduction supported the usage of laser in the second group. Regarding electromyography and NCV, significant statistical difference was observed in the motor part of the laser group and, to a great extent, was compatible with the physical examinations.

Conclusions: Accordingly, laser therapy in our protocol seemed to affect some of the nerve growth parameters, mostly on motor rather than sensory fibers.
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thognathic surgery leads to better results in the treatment of sensory deficits (5, 6).

2. Objectives

This study aimed to assess the effects of laser administration after reconstructive surgery of median nerve disruption in the distal third of the forearm and compare the results with those of the standard method.

3. Patients and Methods

This study was a case-control clinical trial of 36 patients with volar surface rupture of the distal third of the forearm admitted to the emergency ward of Hazrat-e-Fatemeh Hospital within 72 hours of injury; on examination they had anesthesia of the first, second and third fingers as a result of median nerve injury. Excluding criteria were: age under 18 and over 60 years, simultaneous injury of ulnar or radial nerves, pregnancy, seizure, and prior trauma history. First, the subjects were informed about the surgery and the possibility of using either the standard method or combination with laser therapy. Then the subjects were randomly divided into two groups. All subjects underwent axillary anesthesia with 2% lidocaine. They received 1 g IV Cephalothin. A tourniquet was worn on the proximal arm with a pressure of 250 mm/Hg. After preparation with povidone iodide and draping, the wound was explored. First, the vascular and tendon injuries, if any, were repaired and then the two completely disrupted ends of the median nerve were trimmed with number 11 blade and epiperineural repair with 8-0 nylon under loupe magnification of 4.5 ×. Whenever the flexor retinaculum was open, it was repaired like a Z; then the skin was repaired with nylon 4-0. The skin of the area was marked with silk and the distance to the wrist crease was marked. A long brace was used on the dorsal side of hand and forearm. The wrist was fixed in 20 to 30 degrees of flexion, metacarpophalangeal joint in 70 to 80 degrees of flexion and the interphalangeal joint was fixed in extension. Then dressing applied. Subjects in the control group were discharged the day after. In the case group subjects, the dressing was undone and LT of the marked skin was done while the brace was still on. Then the subject was discharged, LT was done every other day for 10 sessions within a 20 day period. LT with a probe with a cross-section of 1 cm², low power diode laser with an 810 nm wave length, 50 mw power, and 4 J energy was applied on the marked area for 30 seconds. The splint was used for three weeks in both the case and control groups; after that the subjects were referred for physiotherapy. After six months, the subjects were compared via the two-point discrimination test, (Nerve conduction velocity) NCV, (Electromyography) EMG, and muscular examinations. The two-point discrimination test had a classification of one to five as follows:

1) No point is perceived (No perception)
2) Only one point is perceived (Protective)
3) Perception of two points 11 to 15 mm of each other (poor perception)
4) Perception of two points 6 to 10 mm of each other (good perception)
5) Perception of two points at a distance of less than 6 mm (normal)

Muscular examinations such as opposition and thumb abduction-checkup were divided from zero to five grades based on the British Medical Research Council Rating System. Following these processes, the subjects were referred to a rehabilitation specialist for NCV and EMG tests; based on the physician’s opinion, sensory and motor recovery were classified into four separate grades:

1) No Regeneration
2) Poor Regeneration
3) Fair Regeneration
4) Good Regeneration

There were 19 subjects in the control group and 17, in the case group.

4. Results

Out of the 19 subjects of the control group, six did not complete the research and 13 completed the whole process. On the other hand 14 out of 17 subjects of the laser group completed the study, 85% of the subjects were male and 15% were female and there was no significant statistical difference regarding gender distribution. Subjects in the laser group were between 18 and 47 years old with a mean of 26.19 ± 8.3 years and the control group subjects were between 18 and 44 years old with a mean of 30.71 ± 7.74. Age distribution of the two groups was compatible with the normal distribution and there was no statistical difference between the two groups regarding the subjects age (P value = 0.11), and 63% of the subjects had right hand and 36% left hand injury; 30% of the subjects had radial artery damage and none of them had ulnar injury area in the laser group was in 10 - 60 mm proximal to the wrist crease with a mean of 26.25 mm ± 16.22 and in the control group it was in 10 - 70 mm proximal to the wrist crease with a mean of 34.12 mm ± 13.10, 66% of the subjects had been injured by glass, 21% by knife, and 12% by constructional and industrial equipment; 30% of the subjects had radial artery damage and none of them had ulnar injury area in the laser group was in 10 - 60 mm proximal to the wrist crease with a mean of 26.25 mm ± 16.22 and in the control group it was in 10 - 70 mm proximal to the wrist crease with a mean of 34.12 mm ± 13.10, 66% of the subjects had been injured by glass, 21% by knife, and 12% by constructional and industrial equipment; 30% of the subjects had radial artery damage and none of them had ulnar injury area in the laser group was in 10 - 60 mm proximal to the wrist crease with a mean of 26.25 mm ± 16.22 and in the control group it was in 10 - 70 mm proximal to the wrist crease with a mean of 34.12 mm ± 13.10, 66% of the subjects had been injured by glass, 21% by knife, and 12% by constructional and industrial equipment; 30% of the subjects had radial artery damage and none of them had ulnar injury area in the laser group was in 10 - 60 mm proximal to the wrist crease with a mean of 26.25 mm ± 16.22 and in the control group it was in 10 - 70 mm proximal to the wrist crease with a mean of 34.12 mm ± 13.10, 66% of the subjects had been injured by glass, 21% by knife, and 12% by constructional and industrial equipment; 30% of the subjects had radial artery damage and none of them had ulnar injury area in the laser group was in 10 - 60 mm proximal to the wrist crease with a mean of 26.25 mm ± 16.22 and in the control group it was in 10 - 70 mm proximal to the wrist crease with a mean of 34.12 mm ± 13.10, 66% of the subjects had been injured by glass, 21% by knife, and 12% by constructional and industrial equipment; 30% of the subjects had radial artery damage and none of them had ulnar injury area in the laser group was in 10 - 60 mm proximal to the wrist crease with a mean of 26.25 mm ± 16.22 and in the control group it was in 10 - 70 mm proximal to the wrist crease with a mean of 34.12 mm ± 13.10, 66% of the subjects had been injured by glass, 21% by knife, and 12% by constructional and industrial equipment; 30% of the subjects had radial artery damage and none of them had ulnar injury area in the laser group was in 10 - 60 mm proximal to the wrist crease with a mean of 26.25 mm ± 16.22 and in the control group it was in 10 - 70 mm proximal to the wrist crease with a mean of 34.12 mm ± 13.10, 66% of the subjects had been injured by glass, 21% by knife, and 12% by constructional and industrial equipment; 30% of the subjects had radial artery damage and none of them had ulnar injury area in the laser group was in 10 - 60 mm proximal to the wrist crease with a mean of 26.25 mm ± 16.22 and in the control group it was in 10 - 70 mm proximal to the wrist crease with a mean of 34.12 mm ± 13.10, 66% of the subjects had been injured by glass, 21% by knife, and 12% by constructional and industrial equipment; 30% of the subjects had radial artery damage and none of them had ulnar injury area in the laser group was in 10 - 60 mm proximal to the wrist crease with a mean of 26.25 mm ± 16.22 and in the control group it was in 10 - 70 mm proximal to the wrist crease with a mean of 34.12 mm ± 13.10, 66% of the subjects had been injured by glass, 21% by knife, and 12% by constructional and industrial equipment; 30% of the subjects had radial artery damage and none of them had ulnar injury area in the laser group was in 10 - 60 mm proximal to the wrist crease with a mean of 26.25 mm ± 16.22 and in the control group it was in 10 - 70 mm proximal to the wrist crease with a mean of 34.12 mm ± 13.10, 66% of the subjects had been injured by glass, 21% by knife, and 12% by constructional and industrial equipment; 30% of the subjects had radial artery damage and none of them had ulnar injury area in the laser group was in 10 - 60 mm proximal to the wrist crease with a mean of 26.25 mm ± 16.22 and in the control group it was in 10 - 70 mm proximal to the wrist crease with a mean of 34.12 mm ± 13.10, 66% of the subjects had been injured by glass, 21% by knife, and 12% by constructional and industrial equipment; 30% of the subjects had radial artery damage and none of them had ulnar injury area in the laser group was in 10 - 60 mm proximal to the wrist crease with a mean of 26.25 mm ± 16.22 and in the control group it was in 10 - 70 mm proximal to the wrist crease with a mean of 34.12 mm ± 13.10, 66% of the subjects had been injured by glass, 21% by knife, and 12% by constructional and industrial equipment; 30% of the subjects had radial artery damage and none of them had ulnar injury area in the laser group was in 10 - 60 mm proximal to the wrist crease with a mean of 26.25 mm ± 16.22 and in the control group it was in 10 - 70 mm proximal to the wrist crease with a mean of 34.12 mm ± 13.10, 66% of the subjects had been injured by glass, 21% by knife, and 12% by constructional and industrial equipment; 30% of the subjects had radial artery damage and none of them had ulnar injury area in the laser group was in 10 - 60 mm proximal to the wrist crease with a mean of 26.25 mm ± 16.22 and in the control group it was in 10 - 70 mm proximal to the wrist crease with a mean of 34.12 mm ± 13.10, 66% of the subjects had been injured by glass, 21% by knife, and 12% by constructional and industrial equipment; 30% of the subjects had radial artery damage and none of them had ulnar
nerve or artery damage. In the two-point discrimination-test of the thumb, there was no difference between the two groups. But a significant difference in the index finger was seen in the laser group in sensory recovery (P value = 0.04) shown in Table 1.

Table 1. Comparison Between Two Points in Laser And Control Groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Finger</th>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Thumb</td>
<td>Laser</td>
<td>4 (28)</td>
<td>7 (50)</td>
<td>3 (21)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td></td>
<td>6 (46)</td>
<td>6 (46)</td>
<td>1 (7.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Index</td>
<td>Laser</td>
<td>5 (35)</td>
<td>6 (42)</td>
<td>3 (21)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td></td>
<td>10 (58)</td>
<td>2 (15)</td>
<td>1 (7.7)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Data are presented as No. (%)..

Regarding muscular examination tests, thumb opposition via the opponenspollicis muscle and abduction by abductor pollicisbrevis, recovery in laser group had significant statistical difference in comparison to that of the control group (P value = 0.001 and P value = 0.008) shown in Table 2.

NCV and EMG test results of the laser group were prominent concerning motor recovery (P value = 0.04). But it was not prominent in the control group with regard to sensory recovery (P value = 0.62) shown in Table 3.

5. Discussion

Regarding the two-point discrimination test of the thumb showed no statistical difference between the two groups, unlike the study on inferior alveolar nerve by Ozen et al.(7). Regarding researches on rats for foot print recovery after laser therapy was effective on sciatic nerve (8-11) and this result was compatible to that of animal study on regeneration of sciatic nerve in rats following crushing lesion. Lasers Surg Med. 2010;42(9):673–82. doi: 10.1002/lsm.20978. [PubMed: 20976807].

但仍需要更多研究来确定激光治疗对周围神经损伤的疗效。未来的研究将更详细地评估不同类型的激光，不同的功率，使用次数和照射时间对周围神经恢复的影响。
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Table 2. Comparison Between Opposition and Abduction in Laser and Control Groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Function</th>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Opposition</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laser</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Abduction</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laser</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>