



## Sonographic Detection of Abdominal Free Fluid: Emergency Residents vs Radiology Residents

Majid Shojaee<sup>1</sup>, Gholamreza Faridaalae<sup>1,\*</sup>, Anita Sabzghabaei<sup>1</sup>, Saeed Safari<sup>1,2</sup>, Hamid Mansoorifar<sup>1</sup>, Ali Arhamidolatabadi<sup>1</sup>, Fatemeh Keyghobadi<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Emergency Medicine Department, Imam Hossein Hospital, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, IR Iran

<sup>2</sup> Emergency Medicine Department, Shohada Tajrish Hospital, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, IR Iran

<sup>3</sup> Emergency Medicine Department, Alzahra Hospital, Tabriz University of Medical Sciences, Tabriz, IR Iran

\*Corresponding author: Gholamreza Faridaalae, Department of Emergency Medicine, Imam Hossein Hospital, Shahid Madani Avenue, 1617763141, Tehran, IR Iran. Tel: +98-2177558081, Fax: +98-2177556069, E-mail: gholamrezafaridaalae@yahoo.com.

### ABSTRACT

**Background:** Focused assessment with sonography for trauma (FAST) has become a part of initial examinations in trauma care at emergency departments (ED).

**Objectives:** The goal of the present study was to evaluate the accuracy of FASTs performed by emergency residents (ER) in detection of abdominal free fluid following blunt trauma.

**Materials and Methods:** In this study, the reports of ERs performing FASTs on 286 admitted patients following blunt trauma were compared with those of radiology residents (RR) in relation to presence of abdominal free fluid. In addition, the reports of the two resident groups were compared with the final abdominal outcome, based on the results of abdominal computed tomography (CT) and clinical follow up.

**Results:** The ERs had reported abdominal free fluid in 20 (6.9%) patients while RRs performing FAST had positive results in 22 (7.6%) patients. The reports of FASTs revealed significant correlation between the two resident groups ( $P < 0.001$ ). ERs performing FASTs had 90% sensitivity and 98.5% specificity in comparison to RRs sonography reports. Furthermore, ER-performed FASTs had 96.5% accuracy in relation to final outcome.

**Conclusions:** Following training, ED residents can perform FAST with high accuracy and specificity, similar to RR residents, in patients with blunt abdominal trauma.

**Keywords:** Ultrasonography; Trauma, Emergencies; Abdominal Injuries

Copyright © 2013, Trauma Research Center.; Published by Kowsar Corp.

► Article type: Research Article; Received: 07 May 2012, Revised: 16 Aug 2012, Accepted: 10 Sep 2012; DOI: 10.5812/traumamon.5476

► Implication for health policy/practice/research/medical education:

The present study aimed to evaluate the accuracy of focused assessment with sonography in trauma performed by emergency and radiology residents in detection of abdominal free fluid following blunt trauma.

► Please cite this paper as:

Shojaee M, Faridaalae G, Sabzghabaei A, Safari S, Mansoorifar H, Arhamidolatabadi A, et al. Sonographic Detection of Abdominal Free Fluid: Emergency Residents vs Radiology Residents. *Trauma Mon.* 2013;17(4):377-9. DOI: 10.5812/traumamon.5476

► Copyright © 2013, Trauma Research Center.; Published by Kowsar Corp.

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

## 1. Background

Since 1980 FAST has been a part of initial examinations and an invaluable adjunct in emergency care of patients with blunt abdominal trauma (BAT) (1). Over the past years, the use of FAST has increased due to its advantages of being non-invasive, rapidly performed, and readily repeatable (2). Different studies have confirmed the upgrading of trauma care while FAST is included in the management of BAT (3, 4). At present, abdominal sonography is applied in blunt and penetrating trauma algorithms as an initial evaluation method in detection of abdominal free fluid. It has gradually been taken out of the radiologist monopoly, evolving to a common tool in a variety of specialties. In 2008, the American College of Emergency Physicians formalized recommendations for training of emergency physicians in FAST (5). Different studies have demonstrated a sensitivity of 42-96% and specificity of 85-100% for non-radiologists performing FAST (6, 7). Brenchley and their colleagues showed that emergency physicians can use FAST with sufficient specificity following training courses (8).

## 2. Objectives

This study aimed to evaluate the accuracy of FAST performed by ERs in detection of abdominal free fluid in patients admitted to the ED following BAT.

## 3. Materials and Methods

In this descriptive cross-sectional double-blind study, the reports of FASTs performed by ERs on patients, admitted to Imam Hossein Educational Hospital (Tehran, Iran) from April 2010 to March 2011, were compared with those carried out by radiology residents in relation to presence of abdominal free fluid. Firstly, the patients were assessed clinically by ERs and underwent FAST during primary or secondary trauma survey. Then, they were transferred to the Radiology Department within one hour of admission and re-evaluated by FAST performed by an RR. Abdominal

computed tomography (CT) was carried out in positive or suspected reports of each resident group to confirm the diagnosis. In addition, patients with negative FAST results were observed for 6-12 hours in ED; in the absence of abdominal pain and tenderness they were discharged and followed-up by phone. Finally, data collected from sonography reports of the two resident groups were statistically compared for abdominal free fluid, using SPSS version 18 and chi-square test. Moreover, the correlation of the reports of two residency groups was evaluated using Pearson's correlation test. Furthermore, the reports of the two resident groups were compared with the final abdominal outcome, based on the results of abdominal CT scans and clinical follow-up. The ERs had passed the theoretical and practical training courses of FAST by performing abdominal sonography on at least 120 patients under the supervision of an expert. Patients with unstable hemodynamics, penetrating trauma, age < 18 years and BMI > 30 were excluded.

## 4. Results

A total of 286 patients with BAT were evaluated during the study period (67% male). The ERs had reported abdominal free fluid for 20 (6.9%) patients while FAST reports performed by RRs were positive for 22 (7.6%) patients. For 59 cases with positive or suspected results of sonography, abdominal CT was performed. Only 14 (23.7%) cases had positive findings on abdominal CT scans. A total of 226 cases with negative FAST results based on reports of the two resident groups did not have any problems during the observation and follow-up periods. The reports of FASTs were significantly correlated between the two resident groups ( $r: 0.84, P < 0.001$ ). ER-performed FASTs had 90% sensitivity and 98.5% specificity in comparison to sonography reports by RRs. Table 1 reveals the sensitivity, specificity and likelihood ratio of FASTs performed by the two groups in comparison to the final outcome based on findings of abdominal CT scans and clinical follow-up.

**Table 1..** Sensitivity, Specificity and Likelihood Ratio of FAST Performed by Two Resident Groups

Performance	Sensitivity	Specificity	Likelihood ratio	Accuracy
Emergency resident	60%	99.2%	127.8	96.5%
Radiology resident	59.1%	99.6%	68.8	96.5%

## 5. Discussion

The results of the current study showed that ER-performed FASTs had acceptable sensitivity and specificity in comparison to RR-performed sonography. In addition, ERs' abdominal sonography was accurate in over 95% of cases in comparison to the final abdominal outcome, based on the results of abdominal CT scans and clinical follow-up. Rapid detection of abdominal complications following BAT in order to render appropriate emergency care can reduce mortality and improve

outcome of trauma patients (9, 10). Application of FAST in ED could potentially provide critical information and optimize triage and transport of patients with multiple injuries. In previously published studies the sensitivity of FAST ranges from 75% to 100%, with specificity range from 88% to 100% (11). The necessity of presenting instructional items in relation to ultrasound scans and interpretation of the related data in the curriculum of emergency medicine specialists has been emphasized in a study carried out by Heller et al. (12). Emergency

physicians with training can interpret sonography with relatively high sensitivity, specificity and accuracy in both pediatric and adult patients with BAT (13, 14). McKenney et al. evaluated the accuracy of 112 cases of FAST performed by surgical residents and reported that if the residents take the training courses, they can perform this test well for trauma patients (15). In other studies, non-radiologist specialists performed FAST with the sensitivity of 42-96%, specificity of 85-100% and overall accuracy of 89-99% (6, 7). The present study confirms these findings in relation to ER-performed FASTs. Following training, emergency medicine residents were able to perform FAST with high accuracy for patients with BAT. The relatively low sensitivity of FAST implies low ability of ERs in detecting abdominal free fluid, indicating a clear need for greater emphasis on education. As a suggestion, carrying out sonography during diagnostic peritoneal lavage (DPL) after introducing the fluid into the abdominal cavity or in patients with confirmed ascites could be beneficial for greater eye familiarity with free fluid appearance in the abdomen during training courses. After training, emergency department residents can perform FAST with high accuracy and specificity, similar to radiology residents, in patients with blunt abdominal trauma.

### Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank emergency medicine faculties and residents of the Imam Hossein Hospital for their participation and valuable points that made this research fruitful.

### Financial Disclosure

All authors declare that there is no conflict of interest.

### Funding/Support

None declared.

### References

1. Viscomi GN, Gonzalez R, Taylor KJ, Crade M. Ultrasonic evaluation of hepatic and splenic trauma. *Arch Surg*. 1980;**115**(3):320-1.
2. Patel NY, Riherd JM. Focused assessment with sonography for trauma: methods, accuracy, and indications. *Surg Clin North Am*. 2011;**91**(1):195-207.
3. Melniker LA, Leibner E, McKenney MG, Lopez P, Briggs WM, Mancuso CA. Randomized controlled clinical trial of point-of-care, limited ultrasonography for trauma in the emergency department: the first sonography outcomes assessment program trial. *Ann Emerg Med*. 2006;**48**(3):227-35.
4. Ollerton JE, Sugrue M, Balogh Z, D'Amours SK, Giles A, Wyllie P. Prospective study to evaluate the influence of FAST on trauma patient management. *J Trauma*. 2006;**60**(4):785-91.
5. American College of Emergency Physicians. *Emergency Ultrasound Guidelines*. Dallas: 2008.
6. Rozycki GS, Ballard RB, Feliciano DV, Schmidt JA, Pennington SD. Surgeon-performed ultrasound for the assessment of truncal injuries: lessons learned from 1540 patients. *Ann Surg*. 1998;**228**(4):557-67.
7. Arrillaga A, Graham R, York JW, Miller RS. Increased efficiency and cost-effectiveness in the evaluation of the blunt abdominal trauma patient with the use of ultrasound. *Am Surg*. 1999;**65**(1):31-5.
8. Brenchley J, Walker A, Sloan JP, Hassan TB, Venables H. Evaluation of focussed assessment with sonography in trauma (FAST) by UK emergency physicians. *Emerg Med J*. 2006;**23**(6):446-8.
9. Weill F, Bihl E, Rohmer P, Zeltner F, Le Mouel A, Perriguet G. Ultrasonic study of hepatic and splenic traumatic lesions. *Eur J Radiol*. 1981;**1**(3):245-9.
10. Tso P, Rodriguez A, Cooper C, Militello P, Mirvis S, Badellino MM, et al. Sonography in blunt abdominal trauma: a preliminary progress report. *J Trauma*. 1992;**33**(1):39-43; discussion 43-4.
11. Tsui CL, Fung HT, Chung KL, Kam CW. Focused abdominal sonography for trauma in the emergency department for blunt abdominal trauma. *Int J Emerg Med*. 2008;**1**(3):183-7.
12. Heller MB, Mandavia D, Tayal VS, Cardenas EE, Lambert MJ, Ma-teer J, et al. Residency training in emergency ultrasound: fulfilling the mandate. *Acad Emerg Med*. 2002;**9**(8):835-9.
13. Ingeman JE, Plewa MC, Okasinski RE, King RW, Knotts FB. Emergency physician use of ultrasonography in blunt abdominal trauma. *Acad Emerg Med*. 1996;**3**(10):931-7.
14. Fox JC, Boysen M, Gharahbaghian L, Cusick S, Ahmed SS, Anderson CL, et al. Test characteristics of focused assessment of sonography for trauma for clinically significant abdominal free fluid in pediatric blunt abdominal trauma. *Acad Emerg Med*. 2011;**18**(5):477-82.
15. McKenney MG, McKenney KL, Compton RP, Namias N, Fernandez L, Levi D, et al. Can surgeons evaluate emergency ultrasound scans for blunt abdominal trauma? *J Trauma*. 1998;**44**(4):649-53.